Local Mandate Fiscal Impact Estimate Kentucky Legislative Research Commission 2016 Regular Session

Part I: Measure Information

Bill Request #: 94	
Bill #: SB 302	
Bill Subject/Title:I	gnition Interlock.
Sponsor: Senator M	organ McGarvey
Unit of Government:	City County Urban-County Unified Local Charter County Consolidated Local Government
Office(s) Impacted:	None
Requirement: X	Mandatory Optional
Effect on Powers & Duties: X	Modifies Existing X Adds New Eliminates Existing
Part II: Purpose and Mechanics	
	tion interlock statutes that underwent an omnibus revision through ng the 2015 Regular Session of the Kentucky General Assembly.
133. The subsection st	1) of the measure makes explicit that which was implicit in SB ates, in part, "No unit of state or local government shall be der for payment or costs associated with an ignition interlock
	epeals KRS 189A.345 which provides for various ignition wever, Section 7 amends KRS 189A.090, through subsections (7), the penalties

Part III: Fiscal Explanation, Bill Provisions, and Estimated Cost

SB 302 has no fiscal impact on local governments. SB 302 imposes no ignition interlock associated costs on local governments. Furthermore, the measure does not change current ignition interlock penalties. (Any such change would have created a fiscal

impact for jails and possibly local law enforcement.) Accordingly, SB 302 has no fiscal impact on local governments.

Data Source(s): Legislative Record; KRS; LRC staff

Preparer: Scott Varland Reviewer: JWN Date: 3/21/16